				ASS.	~ E	Complete if Known					
Substitute for form 1449A/B/PTO					RADERANT	Application Number					
INFORMATION DISCLOSURE						Filing Date	December 30, 2003				
						First Named Inventor	Leslie Lamport				
STATEMENT BY APPLICANT						Group Art Unit	Unassigned				
(Use as many sheets as necessary)						Examiner Name	Unassigned				
Sheet		1	of	1		Attorney Docket Number	224009 (MS Ref. # 305892.01)				
U.S. PATENT DOCUMENTS											
	T	U.S. Patent Document				•					
Examiner Initials	Doc. No.	Application or Patent Number		Kind Code	Name of Patentee or Applicant		nt	Date of Publication	Filing Date If Appropriate		
				<u> </u>							
	<u> </u>									·	
				<u> </u>							
OTHER - NON PATENT LITERATURE DOCUMENTS											
Evaminar		Include name of the author (in CAPITAL LETTERS), title of the article (when appropriate), title of the item							n Trar	Translation	
Examiner Initials	Doc. No.	(book, magazine, journal, serial, symposium, catalog, etc.), date, page(s), volume-issue number (s), publisher, city and/or country where published.							Yes	No*+	
	CI	KEIDAR, Idit, et al.; On the Cost of Fault-Tolerant Consensus When There Are							е		
		No Faults – A Tutorial; SIGACT News 32(2), <i>Distributed Computing</i> column, pp. 45-63; June 2001.									
	CJ	DWORK, Cynthia, et al.; Consensus in the Presence Of Partial Synchrony;									
	Journal of the ACM, 35(2):288–323, April 1988. C.K. LAMPSON, Butler W.: How to Build a Highly Available System Using									<u> </u>	
	C K LAMPSON, Butler W.; How to Build a Highly Available System Using Consensus; http://www.research.microsoft.com.							in Using			
	CL LAMPORT, Leslie; The Implementation of Reliable Distributed Multiprocess									<u> </u>	
		Systems; Computer Networks, 2:95–114, 1978.									
	CM	CM LAMPORT, Leslie, et al.; The Byzantine Generals Problem; ACM Transactions									
	on Programming Languages and Systems, Vol. 4, No. 3, July 1982, pp. 382-										
		401.									

/Scott Sciacca/

Examiner Signature

Date Considered

05/08/2008

^{*} A concise statement of relevance is being submitted in lieu of a translation. 37 CFR 1.98(a)(3).

⁺ An English-language equivalent/patent, or an English-language abstract, or an English-language version of the search report or action by a foreign patent office in a counterpart foreign application indicating the degree of relevance found by the foreign office is being submitted in lieu of a concise explanation of relevance under 37 CFR 1.98(a)(3).